

| | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. 10/589,866 | Applicant(s) BERCOVIER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner S. Devi, Ph.D. | Art Unit 1645 | |

All Participants:

(1) S. Devi (USPTO).

(2) Attorney Ari Zytcer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicants' after-final amendment filed 09/03/2010.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The allowable subject matter, the rejoinable subject matter, and the acceptable claim language were indicated. The need to issue an Examiner's amendment to delete, amend, and add the indicated claims and to amend the first paragraph of the specification was conveyed. Attorney Zytcer authorized the Examiner of record to issue an Examiner's amendment to make the proposed amendments.

/S. Devi/
Primary Examiner
AU 1645

09-16-2010